LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 1 3/12/2018 1:07 PM JOSEPH R. LORDAN, SB# 265610 **Clerk of Court** Email: Joseph.Lordan@lewisbrisbois.com 2 Superior Court of CA, SUMY KIM, SB# 290082 **County of Santa Clara** 3 Email: Sumy.Kim@Lewisbrisbois.com 17CV321005 333 Bush Street, Suite 1100 San Francisco, California 94104-2872 4 Reviewed By: V. Taylor Telephone: 415.362.2580 Envelope: 1300687 Facsimile: 415.434.0882 5 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant VASPER SYSTEMS, LLC and PETER WASOWSKI 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 10 11 CASE NO.: 17CV321005 MEERA KAUL, 12 Plaintiff, **CROSS-COMPLAINT BY VASPER** 13 SYSTEMS, LLC AND PETER VS. WASOWSKI FOR: 14 VASPER VITALITY INVESTORS, LLC, (1) FRAUDULENT VASPER SYSTEMS, LLC, VASPER 15 MISREPRESENTATION; SYSTEMS CALIFORNIA, LLC, PETER WASOWSKI, CHRIS MASHIBA, JAY 16 (2) BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY; CHESAVAGE, DAVID MALEY and DOES 1-50 Inclusive. and 17 Defendants. (3) CONVERSION. 18 19 VASPER SYSTEMS, LLC and PETER 20 WASOWSKI, 21 Cross-Complainants, 22 VS. 23 MEERA KAUL a.k.a. MEERA KOUL and 24 OPTIMUS VENTURES LLC, and ROES 51-100 Inclusive. 25 Cross-Defendants. 26 27 28

**CROSS-COMPLAINT** 

4820-1578-8127.1

& SMITH ШР

E-FILED

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

#### CROSS-COMPLAINT

Defendant and Cross-Complainant VASPER SYSTEMS, LLC ("VASPER") and Defendant and Cross-Complainant PETER WASOWSKI ("WASOWSKI") (collectively, "Cross-Complainants") hereby cross-complains against Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant MEERA KAUL a.k.a. MEERA KOUL ("KAUL") and OPTIMUS VENTURES, LLC ("OPTIMUS") (collectively, "Cross-Defendants") as follows:

## PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS

- Cross-Complainant VASPER is and at all times herein was, a limited liability 1. corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Hawaii, with its principal place of business in Santa Clara County, California.
- Cross-Complainant WASOWSKI is and at all times herein an individual residing in 2. the State of California.
- Cross-Complainants are informed and believe and thereon alleges that Cross-3. Defendant KAUL is at all times mentioned herein an individual residing in the State of California.
- Cross-Complainants are informed and believe and thereon alleges that Cross-4. Defendant OPTIMUS is and at all times herein was, a limited liability corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Santa Clara County, California.
- The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate or associate, or 5. otherwise of Cross-Defendants designated herein as ROES 51 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to Cross-Complainants at this time, who, therefore, sues said Cross-Defendants by such fictitious names and will ask leave to amend this Cross-Complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Cross-Complainants are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that Cross-Defendants ROES 51 through 100, inclusive, are in some manner responsible for the event and happenings referred to herein and are liable to Cross-Complainants as alleged hereinafter.
- Cross-Complainants are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that Cross-6. Defendants, and each of them, at all times herein mentioned were acting as the agents and/or

4

11 12

14

16

17

15

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

27

28

employees of the remaining Cross-Defendants.

#### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- WASOWSKI founded VASPER in 2009 and serves as its CEO. 7.
- In or around early summer of 2017, KAUL introduced herself to WASOWSKI and 8. represented to WASOWSKI and VASPER that she was a wealthy investor and an expert in software design. KAUL further claimed she obtained an MBA from Stanford University ("Stanford") and a law degree from Thomas Jefferson School of Law.
- After meeting WASOWSKI, KAUL began a scheme to fraudulently induce Cross-9. Complainants to hire her as the CEO at VASPER.
- In pursuit of her scheme, KAUL began by visiting WASOWSKI multiple times at 10. VASPER's Mountain View, California headquarters, feigning interest in the company.
- During her visits, KAUL made representations to Cross-Defendants that she had 11. deep knowledge of the VASPER's entities and volunteered to assist in its corporate reorganization based on her educational background and experience. KAUL also made representations of her business prowess, claiming that she had great business success internationally, including in the United Arab Emirates ("UAE").
- KAUL further represented that she had significant wealth and that she was 12. interested in investing several million dollars into VASPER. To evidence her wealth, she claimed that she purchased a home in the affluent town of Atherton, California in cash, even inviting WASOWSKI to a business event there.
- KAUL also represented that she often held business events at her home and that she 13. would introduce WASOWSKI to her expansive network, including but not limited to, officials from the White House and other wealthy and influential people. She claimed that she would be able to bring to VASPER over \$50 million in investments from her network.
- In furtherance of her scheme to defraud, KAUL invited a group of individuals to VASPER and introduced them to WASOWSKI, claiming them to be from her "MBA class" at Stanford.
  - KAUL furthered her deceitful scheme by causing her fraudulent credentials to be 15.

widely published and by maintaining an extensive presence in the Bay Area entrepreneurial community and on reputable internet outlets. KAUL also cultivated a significant internet presence, in particular for her non-profit organization the Meera Kaul Foundation, further inducing Cross-Claimants' reasonable reliance on KAUL's representations.

- By June 2017, WASOWSKI began to consider KAUL's suggestion that she replace 16. him as the CEO of VASPER based on her representations of (1) her vast experience in marketing companies such as VASPER, (2) her MBA education, (3) her expertise in law given her plan for corporate reorganization, and (4) her purported ability to invest significant capital into VASPER.
- Ultimately, WASOWSKI agreed to propose KAUL's proposition to the 17. Management Committee as VASPER's Operating Agreement required that they would have to vote and make the final decision whether to elect her as the new CEO.
- Subsequently, KAUL executed her scheme by drafting emails for WASOWSKI to 18. send to the Management Committee suggesting KAUL to replace him as CEO.
- In reliance on KAUL's numerous representations of her experience, expertise and 19. capital, WASOWSKI sent the emails KAUL drafted to the Management Committee.
- On June 12, 2017, the Management Committee approved WASOWSKI to begin 20. substantive discussions with KAUL in regards to her becoming VASPER's new CEO.
- Pending the Management Committee's approval, the parties began discussing 21. KAUL's desired salary of \$300,000 a year. In addition, WASOWSKI optimistically stated that she could have the opportunity to potentially earn or buy a percentage ownership of VASPER if she performed well.
- KAUL demanded that the above proposal be memorialized in writing as a sign of 22. good faith and based on her contention that she was turning down other opportunities.
- Based on her representation that she was a "trained attorney", WASOWSKI agreed 23. to memorialize their intention in writing, and KAUL drafted the agreement. However, KAUL knew that any agreement would not be binding as WASOWSKI did not have any authority to enter into such an agreement without the Management Committee's approval.
- When she presented a draft of the agreement to WASOWSKI, she claimed that an 24. 4820-1578-8127.1

25

26

27

28

24

26

27

28

attorney at McDermott Will & Emery LLP ("McDermott"), who was retained by Cross-Defendants through KAUL, had already reviewed and signed off on the form of the document.

- In reliance on KAUL's claimed legal expertise and McDermott's purported review, 25. WASOWSKI signed the agreement on June 26, 2017, and KAUL began working for VASPER.
- Upon signing the agreement, KAUL demanded that her compensation for her 26. employment be invoiced from and paid to her company OPTIMUS, rather than on a form W-2.
- The parties also agreed that KAUL would replace one of VASPER's three 27. members in the Management Committee. McDermott was tasked with drafting the necessary documents. On August 17, 2017, resolutions were signed, and KAUL was appointed to the VASPER's Management Committee.
- Subsequently, KAUL recommended that Cross-Complainants reorganize VASPER 28. from LLC to C-Corp. Within several months of her employment, KAUL accumulated more than \$80,000 in attorneys' fees from McDermott for work allegedly done for VASPER without producing any actual results.
- In September 2017, KAUL invited VASPER to exhibit its equipment at the 29. September Women in STEM Conference in San Francisco, claiming that no less than 1,000 people were registered to attend from all around the world. In order to exhibit VASPER's equipment, KAUL asked for \$10,000 donation from VASPER to the Meera Kaul Foundation.
- In reliance on KAUL's representations regarding the size of the event, Cross-Complainants donated \$10,000, only to find that less than 150 participants attended the September conference
- In or around the beginning of October 2017, VASPER's VP of Engineering and 31. Stanford alumni, Jay Chesavage, searched for KAUL's name in his Stanford's alumni portal to find that no one named "Meera Kaul" or "Meera Koul" had graduated from there.
- On or around October 3, 2017, Mr. Chesavage communicated to KAUL that he was 32. unable to find her in the Stanford Alumni portal. In response, KAUL became defensive and aggressive and continued to insist that she received an MBA at Stanford. She further represented to Mr. Chesavage that she had also earned an undergraduate degree in law at Stanford.
- Knowing Stanford did not offer an undergraduate law degree, Mr. Chesavage 33. became suspicious of KAUL and communicated his concern to WASOWSKI.

- Subsequently, Cross- Complainants learned that KAUL's claim that she had 34. obtained an MBA from Stanford and a law degree from Thomas Jefferson School of Law were false.
- Cross- Complainants are informed and believe that KAUL did not own her 35. Atherton home as she had claimed.
- On or around October 8, 2017, Cross- Complainants became informed of troubling 36. accusations made by KAUL'S UAE business associates that she had misappropriated business assets from former business associates.
- Seriously concerned about this new information, on or around October 9, 2017, 37. WASOWSKI confronted KAUL in regards to the truth of her education credentials, and he was also met with aggression and fierce denial. Therein, KAUL again reminded WASOWSKI that she had nearly two million in capital that she could invest into VASPER and at least \$50 million in investments she could bring in from her network.
  - On October 10, 2017, KAUL abruptly resigned from VASPER. 38.
- Upon information and belief, KAUL's claimed business success in the UAE 39. appears to be a falsehood, and Cross- Complainants were informed that approximately twenty court cases were active in the UAE against her or her entities, alleging millions of dollars in bank fraud or misappropriation.
- Similarly, VASPER discovered that OPTIMUS has been sued by four different 40. vendors claiming \$730,000 in damages in pending lawsuits in San Mateo Superior Court.
- Based on the above, VASPER reviewed its own accounts and discovered that 41. KAUL misused VASPER's credit cards by purchasing personal items unrelated to VASPER and charging VASPER for expenses attributable to her non-profit organization.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Fraudulent Misrepresentation against Cross-Defendants)

- Cross-Complainants reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in the 42. preceding paragraphs.
- Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendants falsely represented to Cross-43. 4820-1578-8127.1

26

27

28

Complainants KAUL's education, wealth, expertise and experience in furtherance of a conspiracy to seize control of VASPER, embezzle funds and to harm Cross-Complainants' economic interests.

- 44. On information and belief, KAUL, on behalf of herself and Cross -defendant OPTIMUS, knowingly and falsely represented to Cross-Complainants her education, wealth, expertise and experience with the intention that Cross-Complainants rely on these false representations and hire her as the CEO of VASPER. On information and belief, such representation was also made recklessly and without regard for its truth as Cross-Defendants never intended to provide legitimate business services to Cross-Complainants.
- 45. At the time these representations were made by Cross-Defendants, and at the time Cross-Defendants took the actions herein alleged, Cross-Complainants were ignorant of the falsity of these representations and believed them to be true.
- 46. Cross-Complainants reasonably relied on Cross-Defendants false representations in their hiring of KAUL as CEO, before they discovered that Cross-Defendants had conspired to harm Cross-Complainants' economic interests as herein alleged.
- 47. Upon information and belief, Cross-Complainants' reasonable reliance on Cross-Defendants' false representation as alleged herein was a substantial factor in causing the harm to Cross-Complainants.
- 48. Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendants fraudulent conduct harmed Cross-Complainants in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 49. Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendants' false representation and promise, and concealment, as herein alleged, was committed with oppression, fraud and malice towards Cross-Complainants. Therefore, Cross-Complainants are entitled to punitive and exemplary damages pursuant to Civil Code § 3294.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Conversion Against Cross-Defendants)

50. Cross-Complainants reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in the preceding paragraphs.

- Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendants conspired to deprive Cross-51. Complainants of its assets and monies.
- Upon information and in belief, by using Cross-Complainants' accounts to pay for 52. personal expenses and charges unrelated to the business of VASPER as alleged herein, Cross-Defendants intentionally and substantially interfered with Cross-Complainants' assets.
- Cross-Complainants have never consented to Cross-Defendants' unlawful 53. conversion as alleged herein.
- On information and belief, as a result of Cross-Defendants' conversion, Cross-54. Complainants have been harmed in an amount to be determined at trial.
- Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendants' conversion was a substantial 55. factor in causing Cross-Complainants harm.
- On information and belief, Cross-Defendants' conversion was committed with 56. oppression, fraud and malice towards Cross-Complainants. Therefore, Cross-Complainants are entitled to punitive and exemplary damages pursuant to Civil Code § 3294.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Breach of Fiduciary Duty Against Cross-Defendants)

- Cross-Complainants reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in the 57. preceding paragraphs.
- As an officer and/or director of VASPER, Cross-Defendants had a fiduciary duty to 58. Cross-Complainants to act with the utmost good faith and in their best interests.
- On information and belief, in derivation of her fiduciary duty to Cross-59. Complainants to act with the utmost good faith and in their best interests, Cross-Defendants embezzled money from VASPER through unauthorized personal expenses, as herein alleged.
- On information and belief, Cross-Defendants embezzlement and self-dealing of 60. VASPER's funds, as herein alleged, harmed Cross-Complainants in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- On information and belief, Cross-Defendants' embezzlement and self-dealing of 61. VAPER's funds, as herein alleged, in derivation of their fiduciary duty to act with the utmost good 4820-1578-8127.1

27

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

4820-1578-8127.1

9

CROSS-COMPLAINT

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

Meera Kaul v. Vasper Investors, et al. Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 17CV321005

3

1

2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

5

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is 333 Bush Street, Suite 1100, San Francisco, CA 94104-2872.

6

On March 12, 2018, I served the following document(s):

7 8 CROSS-COMPLAINT BY VASPER SYSTEMS, LLC AND PETER WASOWSKI FOR: (1) FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION; (2) BREACH OF FIDUCIÁRY DUTY; AND (3) CONVERSION

9

I served the documents on the following persons at the following addresses (including fax numbers and e-mail addresses, if applicable):

10 11

12

Frank E. May, Esq. Law Office of Frank E. Mayo

4962 El Camino Real, Suite 104 Los Altos, CA 94022

14 15

The documents were served by the following means:

16

(BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to X the persons at the addresses listed above and:

17 18

Placed the envelope or package for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, on the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope or package with the postage fully prepaid.

19 20

> I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

21 22

Executed on March 12, 2018, at San Francisco, California.

23

24

25 26

27

28

4846-2149-9487.1

Nell M. Ouintanilla